

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,872	11/13/2003	Chuan Weng	87334.5880	6295
7590 08/04/2006		EXAMINER		
BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP Washington Square, Suite 1100 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.			TAPOLCAI, WILLIAM E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	WASHINGTON, DC 20036			
		DATE MAILED: 08/04/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Occurrence	10/705,872	WENG, CHUAN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William E. Tapolcai	3744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 July</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise</li> </ol>	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 and 29-36 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-24 is/are withdrawr  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 25-27 and 29-36 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Serion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/705,872 Page 2

Art Unit: 3744

Claims 1-24 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on April 5, 2006.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weng. Weng discloses the claimed invention, including the percentage of R14 of about 23.7% as is disclosed in column 3, line 62. However, Weng does not disclose the claimed percentage of R14 of about 18.2%. The percentage of R14 is considered to be a matter of obvious choice to one of ordinary skill in the art. No criticality or unexpected results are seen or have been disclosed for the claimed percentage of R14 being about 18.2% as opposed to the disclosed percentage of 23.7%. In view of the small difference between the two percentages, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been able to come up with the claimed percentage of 18.2% without undue experimentation, using only the disclosure of Weng.
- 4. Claims 26, 27, and 29-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weng in view of Backman. Weng discloses the claimed invention except for the refrigerant mixture comprising a refrigerant from the group consisting of

Application/Control Number: 10/705,872

Art Unit: 3744

R508a and R508b. Backman teaches a refrigeration system that uses a refrigerant mixture which includes a refrigerant from the group consisting of R508a and R508b. See column 6, lines 15-42, and especially line 35. Thus, it would be obvious to provide the refrigerant mixture of Weng with a refrigerant from the group consisting of R508a and R508b, in view of Backman, for the purpose of reducing the need for refrigerants containing Freon. The various percentages of the different refrigerants in the mixture are considered to be mere matters of obvious choice to one of ordinary skill in the refrigerant art, as no criticality or unexpected results are seen or have been disclosed for the recited percentages.

5. Applicant's arguments filed July 18, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant has traversed the rejection by merely stating the differences between the claimed invention and Weng regarding the percentages of R14. However, the difference between the claimed percentage of R14 at 18.2% as opposed to the disclosed percentage of R14 at 23.7% is considered to be small. Applicant has offered no explanation of why his claimed percentage of R14 at 18.2% is critical. He has stated no reasons as to what improvements or unexpected results the 18.2% of R14 in the refrigerant mixture provides over the 23.7% of R14 that is disclosed in Weng. In view of the lack of any discussion in either Applicant's specification or in his remarks filed in his response to the Office action of April 24, 2006, the Examiner has no choice but to conclude that the claimed percentage of 18.2% is nothing more than an obvious variation over the percentage of 23.7% disclosed in Weng.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,872

Art Unit: 3744

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 4

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William E. Tapolcai whose telephone number is (571) 272-4814. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs., 6:30 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl J. Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

William E. Tapolcai Primary Examiner Art Unit 3744

wet July 26, 2006